

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Charles Robert Adams,

Petitioner,

v.

Charles L. Ryan, et al.,

Respondents.

No. CV-13-01782-PHX-ROS

**ORDER**

On July 1, 2014, Magistrate Judge Mark E. Aspey issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) recommending the petition for writ of habeas corpus be denied. (Doc. 17). No objections were filed.

A district judge “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Where any party has filed timely objections to the magistrate judge’s R&R, the district court’s review of the part objected to is to be *de novo*. *Id.* If, however, no objections are filed, the district court need not conduct such a review. *Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003). No objections were filed and the R&R will be adopted in full.


Accordingly,

**IT IS ORDERED** the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 17) is **ADOPTED** and the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (Doc. 1) is **DENIED** and **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

1           **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** a Certificate of Appealability and leave to proceed  
2 in forma pauperis on appeal are **DENIED** because the dismissal of the petition is justified  
3 by a plain procedural bar and jurists of reason would not find the procedural ruling  
4 debatable.

5           Dated this 13th day of August, 2014.

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



Honorable Roslyn O. Silver  
Senior United States District Judge